FILED BY FAX ALAMEDA COUNTY Mark L. Venardi (SBN 173140) 1 February 07, 2017 Martin Zurada (SBN 218235) Mark Freeman (SBN 293721) VENARDI ZURADA LLP **CLERK OF** 2 THE SUPERIOR COURT By Burt Moskaira, Deputy 700 Ygnacio Valley Road, Suite 300 3 Walnut Creek, California 94596 CASE NUMBER: Telephone: (925) 937-3900 RG17848582 4 Facsimile: (925) 937-3905 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class 6 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 7 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 8 9 UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE 10 JUSTIN HAGGARD, an individual on behalf) Case No. of himself and other similarly situated 11 California employees,) CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND 12) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Ygnacio Valley Road, Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Plaintiff, 13 VENARDI ZURADA LLP) 1. Failure to Pay Prevailing Wage (Labor Fax: (925) 937-3905 Tel: (925) 937-3900 VS. *Code* § 1771) 14) 2. Inadequate Records (Labor Code § 1174); 15 PRECISION DRILLING, INC., a California) 3. Pay Stub Violations (Labor Code § 226); corporation; and DOES 1 through 100.) 4. Unfair Business Practices (Bus & Prof inclusive. Code §§ 17200 et Seq.) 17 18 Defendants. 19 20 Plaintiff JUSTIN HAGGARD ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and others similarly 21 situated (hereinafter "Class Members"), complains and alleges as follows: 22 **NATURE OF ACTION** 23 1. This is a class action, under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, seeking 24 remedies for conversion of gratuities, failure to pay prevailing wage, failure to provide proper 25 and itemized wage statements, failure to provide pay stub violations, and unfair business 26 practices. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and others similarly situated due to the 27 named Defendant's practice of failing to pay prevailing wage in violation of Labor Code § 1771, 28 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Tel: (925) 937-3900 Fax: (925) 937-3905 failing to keep accurate records in violation of *Labor Code* § 1174, pay stub violations in violation of *Labor Code* § 226, and unfair, unlawful and fraudulent actions in violation of *Business and Professions Code* §§ 17200 et seq.

2. The "Class Period" is designated as the period from four years prior to the filing of this Complaint through the date of trial. Defendant's violations of California's labor laws and unfair competition laws, as described more fully below, have been ongoing for at least the last four years, and are continuing at present.

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND VENUE

 The Court has subject matter jurisdiction and venue is proper because Plaintiff, and Class Members, performed work in Alameda County and Defendant conducts business in Alameda County.

PARTIES

- 4. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Plaintiff JUSTIN HAGGARD ("Plaintiff"), was a resident of California and employed by Defendant PRECISION DRILLING, INC. ("Defendant"), a California Corporation with an entity address at 378 Santana Row, #396, San Jose, CA 95128. Defendant conducts business throughout Northern California, including in Alameda County. Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee who was paid on an hourly basis and who worked on public projects for Defendant including in Alameda County.
- 5. Entity Defendants DOES 1-100, according to information and belief, (a) are the joint employer of Plaintiff and Class Members because they exercised control over Plaintiff's and Class Members' hours, wages and working conditions, suffered or permitted Plaintiff and Class Members to work, or engaged Plaintiff and Class Members thereby creating a common law employment relationship; (b) are the owners and/or managing agents of Defendant with significant control over the pay and work conditions of Plaintiff and other employees; and/or (c) are an alter ego of Defendant.
- 6. Recognition of the privilege of separate existence of Defendant and/or DOES 1 through 100 would be inappropriate because the individual Defendant and/or DOES 1 through

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12 Ygnacio Valley Road, Suite 300 13 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Tel: (925) 937-3900 Fax: (925) 937-3905 14 15 17 18

100 have in bad faith dominated and controlled Defendant. Plaintiff is also informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendant, and DOES 1 through 100 have:

- Commingled funds and other assets of Defendant and their own funds and (a) other assets for their own convenience and to assist in evading payment of obligations;
 - (b) Diverted funds and other assets of Defendant for non-corporate uses:
 - (c) Treated the assets of Defendant as their own;
- (d) Failed to obtain authority to issue shares or to subscribe to or issue shares of Defendant;
 - Failed to maintain minutes or adequate corporate records of Defendant; (e)
 - (f) Failed to adequately capitalize or provide any assets to Defendant;
 - Used Defendant as a mere shell, instrumentality or conduit; (g)
- (h) Diverted assets from Defendant to themselves, to the detriment of creditors, including Plaintiff and Class Members.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. During the Class Period, Plaintiff, like other Class Members worked as an hourly employee on public works projects.
- 8. Throughout the Class Period Defendant had a uniform policy of not paying Plaintiff and other Class Members the applicable prevailing wage for work on public works projects.
- 9. Defendant's uniform practice of not paying Plaintiff and other Class Members' the applicable prevailing wage also meant that Defendant failed to keep accurate records of hourly paid to Plaintiff and Class Members and that Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff and other Class Members with accurate pay stubs.
- 10. From at least four years prior to the filing of this Complaint and continuing through the present date, Defendant has adopted and used unfair business practices to minimize their employees' compensation and increase Defendant's profits, at Plaintiff and Class Member's expense, by failing to pay Plaintiff and Class Members the applicable prevailing wage.

2

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

(925) 937-3905 14 15 16

Tel: (925) 937-3900 Fax: (17

> 19 20

18

22

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Plaintiff brings this case as a class action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 on behalf of a putative class consisting of all of Defendant's employees who were subjected to Defendant's practice of failing to pay the applicable prevailing wage to said employees during the Class Period ("Class Members").
- 12. During the Class Period Defendants employed between thirty (30) and seventyfive (75) Class Members who have been or are currently employed by Defendants. Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical.
- 13. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class Members because he and they are, or were, employees who sustained damages arising out of Defendant's (1) failing to pay the applicable prevailing wage to Class Members; (2) failure to keep accurate records of Class Members; (3) failing to provide the Class Members with accurate pay stubs; and (4) unfair, unlawful and fraudulent actions, all during the Class Period.
- 14. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class. Plaintiff has no conflict of interest with any member of the Class. Plaintiff has retained competent and experienced counsel in complex class action litigation. Plaintiff's counsel has the expertise and financial resources to adequately represent the interests of the Class.
- 15. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all Class Members, and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual Class Members. Questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and the Class are the following:
 - (a) Whether Defendant violated Labor Code § 1771 by failing to pay the applicable prevailing wage to Class Members during the Class Period;
 - (b) Whether Defendant violated Labor Code § 1174 by failing to keep accurate records of all hourly wages paid to Class Members during the Class Period:
 - (c) Whether Defendant violated Labor Code § 226 by issuing incorrect pay stubs to Class Members during the Class Period; and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11 12 Ygnacio Valley Road, Suite 300 13 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Tel: (925) 937-3900 (925) 937-3905 14 16 Fax: (17 18

(d)	Whether Defendant engaged in unfair business practices and violated Business
	and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. by failing to pay the applicable
	prevailing wage, failing to keep accurate records of all hourly wages paid to
	Class Members, and failing to issue correct pay stubs to Class Members
	during the Class Period.

- 16. Class action treatment is superior to any alternative to ensure the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy alleged herein. Such treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without duplication of effort and expense that numerous individuals would entail. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action, and no superior alternative exists for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The Class Members are readily identifiable from Defendant's employee records and/or payroll records.
- 17. Defendant's actions are generally applicable to the entire Class. Prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members creates the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications of the issues presented herein, which, in turn, would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.
- 18. Because joinder of all members is impractical, a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Furthermore, the amounts at stake for many Class Members may not be sufficient to enable them to maintain separate suits against Defendant.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Failing to Pay Prevailing Wage in Violation of Labor Code § 1771

Plaintiff Individually and on Behalf of the Class Against Defendant

- 19. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1–18.
- 20. Labor Code § 1771 states: "Except for public works projects of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) or less, not less than the general prevailing rate of per diem wages for work of a similar character in the locality in which the public work is performed, and not less than the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Tel: (925) 937-3900 Fax: (925) 937-3905

general prevailing rate of per diem wages for holiday and overtime work fixed as provided in this chapter, shall be paid to all workers employed on public works."

- 21. The public projects at issue during the Class period exceed \$1,000.
- 22. In violation of Labor Code § 1771 Defendant consistently failed to pay Plaintiff and other Class Members the applicable prevailing wage during the Class Period.
- 23. Plaintiff and the Class Members suffered injuries as a result of Defendant's violation of Labor Code § 1771 in an amount to be determined at trial.
- Plaintiff has incurred costs and attorneys' fees in bringing the action and seeks to recover such costs under Labor Code § 218.5.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Keep Adequate Time Records in Violation of Labor Code § 1174 Plaintiff Individually and on Behalf of the Class Against Defendant

- 25. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1–24.
- 26. Labor Code § 1174 requires that all employers shall keep accurate time and wage records for all employees. Labor Code § 1174.5 further requires that any employee suffering injury due to a willful violation of the aforementioned obligations may seek damages, including civil penalties, from the employer.
- During the Class Period Defendants consistently failed to maintain accurate time 27. and wage records for Plaintiff and Class Members as required by Labor Code § 1174.
- 28. Defendant failed to maintain such records under Labor Code § 1174 and Defendant's failure to maintain such records subjects Defendant to statutory damages of \$500 per employee.
- 29. Plaintiff has incurred costs and attorneys' fees in bringing the action and seeks to recover such costs under Labor Code § 1174.

Tel: (925) 937-3900

24

25

26

27

28

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Pay Stub Violations in violation of Labor Code § 226 Plaintiff Individually and on Behalf of the Class Against Defendant

- 30. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1–29.
- 31. Labor Code § 226 requires that, at each time for payment of wages, an employer must furnish each employee with a semi-monthly pay stub reflecting, among other things, the total amount of hours worked by the employee and the hourly rate of compensation, during that time period.
- 32. Defendant either failed to provide Plaintiff and Class Members with accurate paystubs or provided them with inaccurate paystubs during the Class Period.
- 33. During the Class Period, Plaintiff's and Class Members' employment with Defendant was subject to *Labor Code* § 226. Defendant's failure to furnish proper pay stubs to Plaintiff and Class Members was a knowing and willful violation of *Labor Code* § 226(a) entitling Plaintiff and Class Members to recover the greater of all actual damages incurred by Plaintiff and Class Members or statutory penalties of \$50 for the initial pay period violation and \$100 for each subsequent pay period violation up, per employee, to a total aggregate penalty of \$4,000 per employee.
- 34. Plaintiff and Class Members suffered injuries as a result of Defendant's violation of *Labor Code* § 226 to be determined at trial, in that, among other things, Plaintiff and Class Members do not have pay stubs reflecting total gratuities paid to them in order to precisely determine whether and how much they are owed for unpaid gratuities.
- 35. Plaintiff has incurred costs and attorneys' fees in bringing the action and seek to recover such costs under *Labor Code* § 226(e).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Unfair Business Practices in Violation of *California Business & Professions Code §* 17200 Plaintiff Individually and on Behalf of the Class Against Defendant

- 36. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1–35.
- 37. During the Class Period, Plaintiff's and Class Members' employment with

Tel: (925) 937-3900 Fax: (925) 937-3905 11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(b)

(See Third Cause of Action of the Complaint).

1	Defendant was subject to the Labor Code and applicable Wage Orders promulgated by the
2	California Industrial Welfare Commission. At all relevant times, Defendant, as employer of
3	Plaintiff and Class Members, was subject to the California Unfair Trade Practices Act,
4	California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq., which required them to abide by the
5	Labor Code and applicable Wage Orders promulgated by the California Industrial Welfare
6	Commission.
7	38. Defendant engaged in unfair trade practices prohibited by California Business &
8	Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. by:
9	(a) failing to pay Plaintiff and Class Members the applicable prevailing wage
10	in violation of Labor Code § 1771 (See First Cause of Action of the Complaint);
1	

(See Second Cause of Action of the Complaint); and

(c) failing to provide adequate pay stubs in violation of Labor Code § 226

failing to keep adequate time records in violation of Labor Code § 1174

39. Defendant violated *California Business & Professions Code* §§ 17200, et seq., by committing acts prohibited by applicable *Labor Code* provisions, thus giving Defendant a competitive advantage over other employers and businesses with whom Defendants were in competition and who were in compliance with the law.

40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations, Plaintiff's and Class Members' rights under the law were violated because Plaintiff and Class Members suffered monetary losses. Plaintiff and Class Members seek restitution in the form of unpaid wages, with interest thereon, together with disgorgement of ill-gotten profits and injunctive relief to prohibit Defendant from violating the state and federal laws and regulations listed in the count.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, prays for the following relief:

27

28

	1	1
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6 7	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
,	14	
	15	
CO. C.	16	
3	17	
	18 19	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	-
	24	
	25	
	26	
	77	-11

1.	Certification of this action as a class action, appointr	nent of Plaintiff as
representative of the	Class, and appointment of Plaintiff's counsel as Class	counsel;

- 2. All unpaid wages due, including but not limited to prevailing wages, and statutory prejudgment interest, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1771 and California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq., in an amount to be determined at trial;
- For restitution of sums to Plaintiff and the Class Members for Defendant's past failure to pay, during the Class Period, prevailing wages, withholding taxes, matching funds, social security, Medicare, unemployment and workers' compensation premiums and compensation for missed meal and work breaks in an amount according to the proof;
- 4. For injunctive relief to prohibit Defendants from violating California employments laws described in this Complaint pursuant to Labor Code § 17200 et seq.;
- 5. For damages or statutory penalties for inadequate pay stubs pursuant to Labor Code § 226;
- 6. For damages for inadequate wage and hour records pursuant to Labor Code § 1174 in the statutory amount;
- For reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in pursing the recovery of wages 7. pursuant to the California Labor Code, including §§ 218.5, 226; and 1174;
- 8. For compensatory, general and special damages according to proof and as allowed by law;
 - 9. For costs of the suit herein; and
 - 10. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

Dated: February 7, 2017

VENARDI ZURADA LLP

Martin Zurada

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JUSTIN HAGGARD

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff on behalf of himself and Class Members respectfully demands a jury trial of his

and the Class Members' claims.

Dated: February 7, 2017

Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Tel: (925) 937-3900 Fax: (925) 937-3905

300 Ygnacio Valley Road, Suite 300

VENARDI ZURADA LLP

VENARDI ZURADA LLP

Martin Zurada Attorneys for Plaintiff

JUSTIN HAGGARD