1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF JACKSON 9 CASE NO. 24CV39962 10 ROGUE VALLEY PEPPER SHAKERS, an unincorporated association; STABBIN' WAGON, a nonprofit public benefit 11 COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND corporation; and MELISSA JONES, an INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 12 individual, NOT SUBJECT TO MANDATORY 13 Plaintiffs, ARBITRATION 14 Filing Fee: \$281 (ORS 21.135(1), (2)(f)) v. 15 CITY OF MEDFORD, a municipal corporation, 16 Defendant. 17 18 INTRODUCTION 19 1. 20 Police surveillance of protected political activities is not only unlawful; it is 21 incompatible with life in a free society. The violation of democratic principles is all the 22 greater when police target civilians for surveillance based on the content of their speech and 23 protest activity. The Medford Police Department is doing precisely that—namely, illegally 24 spying on civilians engaging in peaceful, constitutionally protected speech and protest 25 activities. This lawsuit asks the Court to put a stop to Medford's unlawful conduct and to uphold Oregon law and the democratic principles and rights it seeks to protect. 26 27 PAGE 1 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 28 BRADLEY BERNSTEIN SANDS LLP

1425 SW 20th Ave., Suite 201

Portland, OR 97201

2.

This case arises out of the Medford Police Department's rampant violation of ORS 181A.250—an Oregon statute that specifically prohibits police from collecting and maintaining information on political and social activities and associations—and the democratic principles it codifies in Oregon law. Plaintiffs seek to vindicate those principles and enforce Oregon law by putting an end to the City of Medford's illegal, long-running and widespread campaign of surveillance.

3.

Documents show the Medford Police Department has systematically violated ORS 181A.250 by targeting civilian activists, advocates, and grassroots organizations—including Plaintiffs and like-minded people and groups throughout the Rogue Valley—for covert surveillance and monitoring, outside of any criminal investigation, and based upon the content of their protected political activities.

4.

While the City's violations of this anti-surveillance law involve modern technologies and platforms—including monitoring social media accounts—they have a long history in Oregon policing.

5.

When the Oregon Legislature adopted ORS 181A.250, it unequivocally sought to put an end to law enforcement's collection of intelligence on protected political associations, speech, and protest activities. But in clear violation of Oregon law and Medford's own policies, MPD has not only continued these illegal practices but also modernized them; while police in the 1960s maintained paper dossiers on progressive political groups, MPD now engages in the systematic, on-demand collection and maintenance of digital dossiers

PAGE 2 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

PAGE 3 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

27

28

5

67

8 9

1011

1213

1415

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff Melissa Jones is a community advocate and former Executive Director of Stabbin' Wagon. At all times relevant, Jones resided in Jackson County, Oregon.

Documents show MPD collects and maintains information about Jones's associations and activities in violation of ORS 181A.250.

11.

Defendant City of Medford (the "City") is an Oregon municipal corporation. MPD is a department of the City of Medford. The City and MPD have a principal place of business in Jackson County, Oregon, and this suit arises out of their unlawful activities in Jackson County.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Oregon Law Prohibits Police Surveillance of Political Activities.

12.

Like the rest of the country, Oregon history is rife with examples of law enforcement agencies using their power to monitor, silence and criminalize the protected speech of those whose views they disagree with or find distasteful, unorthodox, or politically inconvenient.

13.

For most of the 20th century, the Portland Police Bureau ("PPB") maintained files on the political activities and associations of unpopular, controversial and marginalized people and groups. Like similar units in other large cities around the country, PPB's intelligence-gathering operation was housed in a secretive unit known as a "Red Squad" which monitored and targeted the constitutionally protected speech and protest activities of people and groups whose viewpoints challenged prevailing political and social norms.

14.

PPB collected and maintained files on members of disfavored political parties; monitored, took surveillance photographs, and even infiltrated constitutionally protected

PAGE 4 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

protests, speeches, and meetings; ran criminal background checks and created "intelligence reports" on people and groups based solely on their political or social views; and maintained detailed files on those groups, their members, their views and their associations. PPB even maintained a file on the American Civil Liberties Union ("ACLU"), an organization dedicated to protecting the very civil liberties PPB was violating.

15.

But unlike most of the country, in the early 1980s, the Oregon Legislature—at the urging of the ACLU, and over the strenuous objection of law enforcement officials including Oregon's then-Attorney General—chose to address law enforcement's abuses of power by passing a law specifically prohibiting police from collecting or maintaining information about a person or group's political, religious, or social views, associations or activities. The resulting statute is now codified as ORS 181A.250.

16.

Oregon Revised Statute 181A.250 identifies "Specific information not to be collected or maintained" by law enforcement, stating in full:

No law enforcement agency, as defined in ORS 181A.010 (Definitions for ORS 181A.010 to 181A.350), may collect or maintain information about the political, religious or social views, associations or activities of any individual, group, association, organization, corporation, business or partnership unless such information directly relates to an investigation of criminal activities, and there are reasonable grounds to suspect the subject of the information is or may be involved in criminal conduct.

17.

In recognition of the requirements of ORS 181A.250, MPD's Policy Manual—like many such manuals throughout the state—now expressly prohibits the collection or

PAGE 5 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1	maintenance of information in violation of the statute. ¹		
2	18.		
3	In practice, however, MPD routinely violates ORS 181A.250 and its own policies.		
4	Indeed, MPD engages in many of the same tactics that the Legislature specifically intended		
5	to prohibit when it adopted that statute. Even worse, the City endorses this practice of		
6	violating its own policy and Oregon law.		
7	B. The City Has a Longstanding Practice of Surveilling Progressive Groups.		
8	19.		
9	Documents show that for years, the City, through MPD, has engaged in the unlawful		
10	surveillance of progressive individuals and groups advocating for such causes as racial		
11	justice, LGBTQ rights, reproductive rights, housing justice, harm reduction,		
12	decriminalization, government transparency, and protection of the environment. ² While		
13	law enforcement in an earlier era relied on paper files and hard-copy photographs, the rise		
14	of social media has allowed MPD to supercharge its surveillance. Public records		
15	demonstrate MPD's surveillance of Plaintiffs is only the latest episode in a lengthy history		
16	of surveillance of progressive-minded groups and people in the Rogue Valley.		
17	20.		
18	MPD's conduct in relation to several significant moments of progressive activism		
19	over the past several years demonstrates that MPD's surveillance of Plaintiffs is no accident,		
20	but rather is as intentional as it is widespread.		
21			
2223	¹ See Medford Police Department, Medford Police Department Policy Manual, at 473, available at https://www.medfordoregon.gov/files/assets/public/v/1/police/documents/mpd-policymanual_2.pdf.		
24	² Natasha Lennard, Oregon Police Obsessively Spied on Activists for Years, Even After Pipeline		

Fight Ended, THE INTERCEPT (Nov. 8, 2023), available at https://theintercept.com/2023/11/08/oregon-police-surveillance-protests-activists/; Information for Public Use, *Records Show Illegal Local and Federal Police Surveillance in Southern Oregon* (Nov. 2023), available at https://info4publicuse.org/2023/11/illegal-spying-

medford-police/.

25

26

27

28

PAGE 6 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1. Medford Police Target Black Activists for Surveillance Following the Murder of George Floyd

21.

In May 2020, George Floyd, an unarmed Black man, was murdered by white Minnesota police officer Derek Chauvin.

22.

Standing out even among the long history of racist policing and brutality against Black Americans, Floyd's murder captured and sustained national attention, igniting broadbased nationwide protests over racist policing and systemic racism. The outrage over Floyd's murder also brought new, sustained attention to the Black Lives Matter ("BLM") movement that originated in response to the 2012 murder of Trayvon Martin, an unarmed Black teenager in Florida, and the subsequent acquittal of George Zimmerman, the white man who killed him.

23.

In Medford, MPD chose to respond to Floyd's murder not by considering its own place in the history of racist policing, but rather by intensifying its unlawful surveillance of progressive activists' protected political activities. In particular, MPD responded—in part—by actively and unlawfully collecting information from progressive activists' social media accounts regarding those activists' plans to engage in protected First Amendment speech and protest activities in support of racial justice, BLM, and criminal justice reform.

24.

On June 15, 2020—some three weeks after Floyd's murder—MPD detective Shannon Reynolds emailed Fisher (subject line: "Intel") to task Fisher with collecting information on progressive groups' plans for Juneteenth, the holiday commemorating the end of slavery in the United States and the freeing of enslaved Black people on June 19, 1865. Reynolds said

PAGE 7 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1	s
2	t
3	
4	
5	d
6	t
7	a
8	
9	
10	J
11	a
12	V
13	s
14	
15	
16	С
17	V
18	
19	
20	N
21	Г
22	(
23	n

she assumed Fisher knew about a "drive-in movie that is happening Friday at the YMCA that's part of Juneteenth."

25.

In addition, Reynolds stated that she had been "doing [her] own sneaking" to try to determine how progressive groups intended to commemorate Juneteenth—clearly meaning that Reynolds herself had also been collecting information from those groups' social media accounts.

26.

In tasking Fisher with monitoring progressive groups' plans for Juneteenth (that is, June 19, 2020), MPD Detective Reynolds said she assumed that Fisher knew about the "Rise and Resist Southern Oregon Facebook page and are a member." Fisher confirmed that she was a member of that group, meaning that Fisher had infiltrated a progressive group's social media account for the express purpose of engaging in unlawful monitoring.

27.

Seeking "Intel" on progressive groups' plans to celebrate Juneteenth unquestionably constitutes the unlawful collection of information based on a group's political or social views.

28.

As another example, in February 2021, with Chauvin's murder trial approaching, MPD Sergeant Jason Antley, a senior MPD officer and head of the department's "Livability Team," directed further monitoring of activists' activities. Antley expressed his opinion that Chauvin and the three other officers involved in Floyd's murder "will be acquitted of the murder charges and if they are convicted of anything at all, it will be some lesser form like manslaughter II or criminally negligent homicide," and that this was "likely to spark another large scale set of protests." He tasked Fisher to "do some digging around on social media to see if anyone else is talking about this."

24

25

26

27

28

PAGE 8 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2

5

6

7 8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

In response, Fisher reported on her monitoring of social media platforms, noting that "the major platforms I have been monitoring include Reddit, Facebook, Twitter, Instagram and TikTok."

30.

Fisher specifically reported that she had been monitoring the social media accounts of "our local activists," and reported that she "still follow[ed] the BLM groups that were prevalent last year (BLM ABC's, Rebels for Justice, Rise and Resist, BLM Southern Oregon, Rogue Free Press etc.) and the key participants. Some of the trending topics from our local groups include mask wearing (or lack thereof) by any LE official, resources for the houseless community, local LE harassing the houseless community, CAHOOTS etc.)."

31.

Evidencing the depth of MPD's unlawful monitoring efforts, Fisher further reported on her views regarding internal dynamics between these progressive groups, opining that "[o]ver the past few months the SOEquity group has evolved and taken a leadership role amongst the groups in our community. They partnered with Justin Rosas³ to hold a press conference surrounding the Ellison case, recruited volunteer's to help with the Hawthorne Park Aid station, took over the storage unit Clarence Carr and friends had filled with donations, and seem to have gained a lot of popularity amongst the groups we have been monitoring."

32.

Fisher reported that she was "monitor[ing] social media on a daily basis and will look out for any local mention of the trial and planned civil unrest." Indeed, as the Chauvin trial neared, she reported that it was "being talked about across many different social media

³ Justin Rosas is a criminal defense and civil rights attorney in Jackson County and a current member of the ACLU of Oregon Lawyers Committee.

platforms" but that "[o]ur local group has not recently made mention of it," judging that "[o]ur known BLM/ protest group is currently fixated on COVID in the jail and LE wearing (or not wearing) masks. They have been frequently making calls/complaints to OSHA."

2. Medford Police Targeted Reproductive Rights Groups for Surveillance In Anticipation of the Supreme Court Overturning the Constitutional Right to Abortion

33.

In May 2022, the nation awaited the Supreme Court's forthcoming decision in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, a case regarding the constitutionality of a Mississippi law that banned most abortions after 15 weeks of pregnancy, which many feared—correctly—could result in the overturning of the federal constitutional right to abortion that had been confirmed in *Roe v. Wade* and upheld in subsequent cases over some 50 years.

34.

In early May, media outlets obtained a leaked copy of the Court's draft opinion in *Dobbs*, which would have overruled *Roe* and repudiated any federal constitutional right to abortion, precisely as feared.

35.

In keeping with its general approach towards progressive political activism, MPD responded to the leak of the *Dobbs* opinion by immediately activating its surveillance tools to monitor protected First Amendment protest activities in clear violation of Oregon law.

36.

In particular, on May 3, 2022, MPD's Deputy Chief of Operations, DJ Graham, tasked MPD analysts Emmily Greb and Michaela Thomas to "let me know if you hear any protest chatter associated with the possible overturning of Roe v Wade."

37.

In response, MPD analysts promptly collected information regarding progressive

PAGE 10 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	1

28

activists' plans to hold a protest called "Bans OFF Our Bodies" protesting the Supreme Court's apparent intention to end the longstanding federal constitutional right to abortion.

38.

The Bans OFF Our Bodies protest constituted a clearly and obviously protected First Amendment political speech and protest activity. As the event planners said, the purpose of the event—which would be held in a public plaza—was to "[d]emand that our elected officials take action before SCOTUS overturns Roe. Bring your families, your stories[,] your heart and your commitment to safe and legal abortion for all who need it."

39.

But in keeping with its standard unlawful practice, MPD collected information on who (and how many) planned to attend the Bans OFF Our Bodies event, who was organizing the event, and what other events those activists had organized and attended. MPD also escalated its surveillance to new levels. In particular, in addition to its monitoring of social media, MPD also monitored a video feed from cameras at Vogel Plaza, where the protest event was held, in another clear violation of Oregon law prohibiting the collection or maintenance of such information.

C. Medford Police Department Targeted Plaintiffs for Surveillance Due to Plaintiffs' Abolitionist Views and Law Enforcement Accountability Activities

40.

The City's intentional surveillance of progressive activists and groups has swept up Plaintiffs, too.

1. Rogue Valley Pepper Shakers

41.

Rogue Valley Pepper Shakers is a non-profit organization active in organizing for and supporting LGBTQIA2S+ rights, reproductive justice, and housing and rights for

PAGE 11 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

throughout the Rogue Valley and Oregon. The organization is also vocal in calling public attention to law enforcement misconduct, corruption, and violence in the Rogue Valley, including by MPD.

42.

unhoused residents in Southern Oregon. Their goal is to counter bigotry in all its forms

Public records show MPD maintains a dossier of Rogue Valley Pepper Shakers' political activity and affiliations spanning several years. The dossier contains evidence showing MPD collects, and then maintains, information about Rogue Valley Pepper Shaker's protected political activity including:

- a. A screenshot of a December 30, 2020, Facebook post showing multiple photographs of images saved to a Facebook photo album posted by Rogue Valley Pepper Shakers concerning religious-based anti-abortion advocacy in Jackson County.
- b. A screenshot of a February 17, 2021, Facebook post by Rogue Valley Pepper Shakers announcing the non-profit had achieved 40,000 social media followers, listing the first and last names of individual "bigots" in the community it wished to thank for helping it achieve success, and providing a link to the organization's TikTok account.
- c. A screenshot of a December 26, 2021, Facebook post by Rogue Valley Pepper Shakers in which the group "wishes everyone a Happy Holidays and remember to protect your local clinics!" states "Love thy neighbor this holiday season" and that "pastor Brett White . . . loves to yell at women outside of [abortion] clinics" with a photograph of Rogue Valley Pepper Shaker members in holiday attire standing near a First Baptist Church sign with pride flags and a hand-written sign reading "I DON'T CARE WHAT THE BIBLE SAYS."

PAGE 12 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

d. A screenshot of a June 24, 2022, social medial post by Rogue Valley Pepper Shakers announcing a reproductive rights demonstration in response to the United States Supreme Court ruling in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization* (2022) overturning the abortion protections long recognized under *Roe v. Wade* (1973) and *Planned Parenthood v. Casey* (1992), stating "Join us at Vogel Plaza in downtown Medford at 6:00 tonight. Bring signs, your rage, and all your friends." MPD emails show the police were monitoring "personal pages" and "the group's Instagram page as well." MPD noted that despite tracking the event being shared "99 times across personal pages and some groups, it had identified "only one" concerning comment by someone with "limited priors." The email also specifically mentioned that "Melissa Jones has posted that she will be there and shared the details about the event on each of the groups she is associated with."

43.

Nothing in the above posts relates to any criminal activity by or legitimate criminal investigation regarding the Rogue Valley Pepper Shakers or its members.

44.

Rather, the common denominator among the images MPD captured and stored is that they relate to Rogue Valley Pepper Shakers' provocative but constitutionally protected political activity, viewpoints, and associations with respect to its LGBTQIA2S+ and reproductive rights advocacy – protected activity under the First Amendment and ORS 181A.250.

2. Stabbin' Wagon and Melissa Jones

45.

Plaintiff Stabbin' Wagon provides and advocates for harm reduction services including the distribution of clean syringes, overdose prevention medication, and

PAGE 13 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

9

10

11 12

13

14 15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

24

26

27

28

contraceptives. It also operates a peer-run crisis respite and alternative to psychiatric hospitalization facility in Jackson County. In conjunction with the services it provides, Stabbin' Wagon vocally advocates for harm reduction, housing rights, and social justice causes in the Rogue Valley. Stabbin' Wagon frequently engages in recording and publishing law enforcement interactions with Stabbin' Wagon clients and the public in online social media posts. Stabbin' Wagon as an organization has a policy and practice of not aiding or working with law enforcement.

46.

Plaintiff Melissa Jones is an activist and community organizer in Southern Oregon supporting housing and rights for homeless people, reproductive rights, LGBTQIA2S+ rights, psychiatric rights, and ending overdoses. She works to address racist and classist issues within the war on drugs by advocating for decriminalization, safe supply and safe consumption sites, and social justice work through an equity, equality, and abolition lens. Jones was the founder of non-profit Stabbin' Wagon, which provides harm reduction and outreach services to at-risk and unhoused residents in Jackson County. Jones has been active in filming law enforcement misconduct and calling public attention to police abuse of vulnerable and unhoused residents in Jackson County.

47.

Public records show MPD maintains a dossier of Stabbin' Wagon and Jones' political activity and affiliations spanning several years. The dossier contains evidence showing MPD collects, and then maintains, information about Stabbin' Wagon and Jones' protected political activity including:

> a. A March 4, 2021 email from MPD's Divya Fisher to D.J. Graham regarding an upcoming protest "scheduled to occur" "in response to the COVID outbreak at the jail." Disturbingly, Fisher somehow knew that "This event is not being advertised on social media (or other public channels) and is being shared

PAGE 14 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

solely via direct message." The email specifically identifies Jones as a "known protest player[]" and that she, along with others, "frequently post calls to action and were very vocal about their displeasure about MPD's evolvement [sic] during the Hawthorne Park encampment." Fisher confirms she is monitoring social media including the "local BLM/ protest pages" and "will scan other social media platforms and see if there is any mention of this event[.]" Graham forwarded the email to MPD's Sgt. Venables and others. Fisher later responds she went so far as to "check[] a variety of social media platforms" and "contacted the FBI to see if there is something I am missing" but none of these efforts yielded any evidence of criminal activity. Graham notes MPD also reached out to the FUSION Center seeking information, but found nothing. He reiterates MPD's belief that Jones is affiliated with Siskiyou Abolition Project.

- b. A May 11, 2021, email by Mayor Randy Sparacino, former MPD Police Chief, to MDP's Scott Clauson containing the Jefferson Public Radio article "As Encampment Evictions Begin in Medford, Where Are People Supposed to Go?" quoting Melissa Jones talking on behalf of Stabbin' Wagon about police raiding homeless camps and mentioning Siskiyou Street News and Rise & Resist Southern Oregon engaging in outreach and fundraising to address homelessness. The email from Sparacino tells Clauson he "wanted you to be aware of what they're saying."
- c. A June 16, 2022, action plan against a "Rave against Rogue Retreat" event.

 MPD noted that "Melissa Jones is the organizer of the event" and that
 according to MPD Crime Analysts, the "Source of information is on Facebook
 and Instagram." MPD stated the "event will be monitored by social media."

 MPD Sgt. Venables was listed as second in command charged with

PAGE 15 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- responding to the event, including "Trespassing and/or Disorderly Conduct at the City Hall" "as needed."
- d. A June 24, 2022, email in which MPD admits to monitoring Jones' social media accounts relating to her attendance at a reproductive rights demonstration, specifically mentioning that Jones had shared details about the event with "each of the groups she is associated with."
- e. Emails from 2023 in response to Stabbin' Wagon being awarded a \$1.5 million grant from the Oregon Health Authority to open a peer respite in Southern Oregon, including MPD Police Chief Justin Ivens and Deputy Police Chief Trevor Arnold collecting and distributing links and commentary on news articles, quotes, and information about Stabbin' Wagon and Jones' activities to other officers and community leaders to incite outrage over Stabbin' Wagon receiving the grant, calling it "unbelievable" and falsely alleging to other non-profit leaders that Stabbin' Wagon receiving grant funding would "cause more destruction." Ivens sent the information to MPD Livability Team ("LVT") Sgt. Geoffrey Kirkpatrick with the sarcastic comment "Great news." Kirkpatrick responded, "I have no words." The emails were the subject of public interest in local and national reporting. Stabbin' Wagon and Jones

⁴ Jane Vaughan, *Medford-based harm reduction group on track to receive* \$1.5 million OHA grant, OPB (Sept. 7, 2023), available at https://www.opb.org/article/2023/09/07/harm-reduction-medford-stabbin-wagon/; Jake Thomas, Facing backlash over \$1.5 million state grant, Stabbin' Wagon speaks out, THE LUND REPORT (Sept. 12, 2023), available at https://www.thelundreport.org/content/facing-backlash-over-15-million-state-grant-stabbin-wagon-speaks-out; Jamie Lusch, Stabbin Wagon's \$1.5 million grant raises questions, emails show, ROGUE VALLEY TIMES (Sept. 13, 2023), available at https://www.rv-times.com/localstate/stabbin-wagons-1-5-million-grant-raises-questions-emails-show/article_f372c4e4-5181-11ee-99b6-63eecc2cae8b.html; Tammy Kim, A Drug Decriminalization Fight Erupts in Oregon, The New Yorker (Jan. 15, 2024), available at https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2024/01/22/a-new-drug-war-in-oregon; Justin Higginbottom, Stabbin' Wagon planning to sue City of Medford and police for alleged misconduct, Jefferson Public Radio (Jan. 30, 2024), available at https://www.ijpr.org/law-(Cont'd on next page)

PAGE 16 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	ĺ	ĺ

28

only became aware of the monitoring activity by MPD in late 2023 following public records requests and news articles.

48.

Nothing in the above documents relates to any criminal activity by or legitimate criminal investigation regarding Stabbin' Wagon or Jones.

49.

Rather, the common denominator among the information MPD collected and stored is that they relate Stabbin' Wagon and Jones' provocative but constitutionally protected political activity, viewpoints, and associations with respect to their decriminalization, housing rights, LGBTQIA2S+ and reproductive rights advocacy – protected activity under the First Amendment and ORS 181A.250.

50.

Former MPD Sgt. Tom Venables confirmed to Jones in writing that MPD was spying on her out of malicious "bias" against Jones for her Stabbin' Wagon activity and activism.

51.

Venables had previously been a member of the MPD Livability Team, also known as the LVT, a task force that focuses on contact with unhoused residents in Medford. MPD Sgt. Geoffrey Kirkpatrick had also served as the lead of the Livability Team. While serving on the LVT, Kirkpatrick was hostile and displayed animosity toward Jones, Stabbin' Wagon, and other housing rights activists who often video recorded Kirkpatrick and MPD officers engaging in misconduct and then posted the videos with commentary on social media. In one such video recorded July 29, 2021, Kirkpatrick is shown berating an activist for recording him during a camp sweep, then when the activist states he is going to call his

and-justice/2024-01-30/stabbin-wagon-planning-to-sue-city-of-medford-and-police-for-alleged-misconduct; POLICE AND CITY OF MEDFORD COLLUDE TO DEFUND

PAGE 17 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

HAŘM REDUCTION NONPROFIT, INFORMATION FOR PUBLIC USE (2023), available at https://info4publicuse.org/2023/10/defund-medford-harm-reduction/.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	ĺ
26	
27	۱

attorney, Kirkpatrick threatens "If you're not gone by Thursday morning I'm going to put handcuffs on you."

52.

On January 8, 2023, Venables contacted Jones via Facebook Messenger. During the instant message exchange, Venables wrote to Jones "I have a conversation between Kirkpatrick and I you'd be interested in." He continued "I'm not claiming to be an innocent party in this, but the 'leader' of the LVT definitely has a bias towards you." Venables said "since I'm not a police officer any longer and I share in the Kirkpatrick is a prick movement I wanted to show you what he was circulating."

53.

When Jones asked what Kirkpatrick was circulating, Venables declined to answer.

54.

Venables deleted the parts of his message chain to Jones that specifically mentioned Kirkpatrick, but by then Jones had already taken screenshots of the full conversation.

55.

Venables also publicly confirmed that MPD monitors social media accounts of activists. In late 2023, apparently disagreeing with MPD's targeting and surveillance of non-violent activists, Venables commented on a Facebook post in the Jackson County Scanner Group page, stating "Ryan Mallory What do you think about PD creating fake profiles to 'monitor' the activity? Does that change your view?"

56.

By 2023, records show MPD was paying an analyst to continuously monitor and maintain records about activists' social media and political activity in Jackson County, despite such individuals and organizations having no connection to criminal activity nor being connected to any criminal investigation.

PAGE 18 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

D. In Response to Media Investigations, the City Admits—And Doubles Down On—Its Unlawful Surveillance of Plaintiffs and Others.

57.

In November 2023, The Intercept published a detailed report on MPD's unlawful surveillance of progressive groups.⁵ As The Intercept reported, emails procured through public records requests showed that "the Medford Police Department coordinated heavy-handed police responses to peaceful rallies and protests, tracked activist groups' social media pages, and consistently treated typical, First Amendment-protected activity as a potential crime worthy of law enforcement scrutiny." *Id.*

58.

In response to this reporting on its unlawful surveillance practices, the City declined to disavow them. Instead, the City affirmed its intention to continue surveilling members of the public in violation of Oregon law.

59.

In particular, the City expressly affirmed its view that MPD was entitled to monitor people's social media accounts without any relation to an investigation of criminal conduct. The City responded to the Intercept's reporting by asserting that "[m]onitoring social media accounts available to the general public does not violate any part of the constitution or any Oregon statute, including ORS 181A.010....Law enforcement agencies, including the Medford Police Department, actively look at the public social media profiles of organizations and individuals when there is reported or self-evident concern of a public safety interest."

//

PAGE 19 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

⁵ See Lennard, Oregon Police Obsessively Spied on Activists for Years, Evan After Pipeline Fight Ended.

9

8

1112

10

1314

16

15

1718

1920

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

In fact, there is no "public safety interest" exception in ORS 181A.250 or elsewhere in Oregon law that would allow MPD to collect or maintain information in violation of that statute. Indeed, allowing MPD to collect information based on an unarticulated, generalized "public safety interest" would violate the plain language and eviscerate the core purpose of ORS 181A.250, which allows the collection of such information only if it directly relates to an investigation of criminal activities and there are reasonable grounds to suspect the subject of the information is or may be involved in criminal conduct.

61.

The City's surveillance activities are clearly unlawful under ORS 181A.250. Yet the City has expressly confirmed it believes they are lawful—and has confirmed such activities are ongoing. Accordingly, the Court's intervention is necessary.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

UNLAWFUL POLICE SURVEILLANCE

DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF—ORS 28.010 ET SEQ. AND ORS 181A.250

62.

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 to 61 above.

63.

MPD is a "law enforcement agency" as that term is defined by ORS 181A.010 and a department of the City.

64.

As a law enforcement agency, MPD is prohibited under ORS 181A.250 from "collect[ing] or maintain[ing] information about the political, religious or social views, associations, or activities of any individual, group, association, organization, corporation, business or partnership unless such information directly relates to an investigation of

PAGE 20 – COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that the Court award the following relief:

- A declaration that the City's collection and maintenance of information relating to Plaintiffs' political and social views, associations and activities through MPD violates ORS 181A.250;
- 2. Preliminary and/or permanent injunctive relief prohibiting the City, through MPD, from collecting or maintaining any further information on Plaintiffs' political or social views, associations, or activities; and
 - 3. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: August 20, 2024

s/Colin Hunter

Colin Hunter, OSB No. 131161 chunter@bradleybernstein.com Gina Elliott, OSB No. 236165 gelliott@bradleybernstein.com Bradley Bernstein Sands LLP 1425 SW 20th Ave., Suite 201 Portland, Oregon 97201 Telephone: 971.337.0190

Kelly Simon, OSB No. 154213 ksimon@aclu-or.org Eri Andriola, *Temporary Practice Application pending* eandriola@aclu-or.org Alicia LeDuc Montgomery, OSB No. 173963 aleducmontgomery@aclu-or.org American Civil Liberties Union of Oregon PO Box 40585 Portland, OR 97240 Telephone: 503.227.3186

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Rogue Valley Pepper Shakers, Stabbin' Wagon, and Melissa Jones